

TRATE ATRACEME		Attorney Docket No.	10-008			
REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL		Application Number	10/759,182			
		Filing Date	January 20, 2004			
		First Named Inventor	DUFFIE, III et al.			
		Group Art Unit	2141			
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	\$0	Examiner Name	SERRAO, Ranodhi N			

FEE TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date		January 20, 2004				
			First Named Inventor		DUFFIE, III et al.				
			Group Art	Group Art Unit		2141			
AMOUNT ENCLOSED \$ 0			Examiner Name		SER	SERRAO, Ranodhi N			
	FEE CA	LCULA	ATION (f	ees effective 12	/08/2	004)			
CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highes	est Number Number ously Paid Extra		Rate		Calculations		
TOTAL CLAIMS	35	35	5	0		X \$	50.00 =	\$0	
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4	4		0		X \$2	00.00 =	\$0	
Since an Official Action cover the date this repl (\$450); 3 months (\$10	y is filed for which	the requisi	ite fee is en	closed (1 mont					
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130)								+	
Total of above Calculations =									
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)									
TOTAL FEES DUE =									
<ul> <li>(1) If entry (1) is less than en</li> <li>(2) If entry (2) is less than 20</li> <li>(4) If entry (4) is less than en</li> <li>(5) If entry (5) is less than 3,</li> </ul>	), change entry (2) to "20" try (5), entry (6) is "0".	:							
	N	ЛЕТНС	D OF F	PAYMENT					
[ ] Check enclosed	as payment.								
[ ] Charge "TOTAL	FEES DUE" to the D	eposit Acc	ount No., b	elow.	, ,				
		AUT	HORIZ	ATION				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ed "AMOUNT ENCL charge any addition ion to:								
Deposit /	Account No.:	50-113	50-1130						
OrderNo.: (Client/Matter) 10-008									
SUBMITTED BY:	LEON R. TURK	EVICH,	ESQ.		1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Typed Name Leon	R. Turkevich			Reg. No. 34,035					
Signature & C					Date Decem			per 4, 2007	



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

DUFFIE, III et al.

Serial No.: 10/759,182

Group Art Unit: 2141

Filed: January 20, 2004

Examiner: SERRAO, Ranodhi N

For:

ARRANGEMENT IN AN IP NODE FOR PRESERVING SECURITY-BASED SEQUENCES BY ORDERING IP PACKETS ACCORDING TO QUALITY OF

SERVICE REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO ENCRYPTION

## **RESPONSE**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Nonfinal Official Action mailed September 4, 2007, Applicant submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-22, 27-31, and 34-37 and 39-42 are pending in the application.

Claims 1, 10, 18, 27, and 40-42 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,113 to Maeshima et al. and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0051130 by MeLampy et al.. This rejection is respectfully traversed, as the rejection fails to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. As demonstrated below, the applied references fail to disclose or suggest the claimed features as argued in the rejection, and therefore fail to establish a rational basis for the

Response filed December 4, 2007 Appln. No. 10/759,182 Page 1